

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,238	07/24/2003	Claus-Rupert Hohenthanner	13430 US	4183	
23719 7590 04/19/2007 KALOW & SPRINGUT LLP EXAMINER					
488 MADISON			LEWIS, BEN		
19TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	VY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
···		-			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				HV		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/627,238	HOHENTHANNER ET	AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ben Lewis	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	'S		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-21 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-8 and 20-21 is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[oxtimes accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•, .				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	ge		
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Inform		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

Detailed Action

1. The Applicant's amendment filed on January 16th, 2007 was received. Claims 1,3,4,6 and 7 were amended. Claims 20-21 were added. Claims 11-19 were withdrawn.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action (issued on July 13th, 2006).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claim 20, the phrase "or other known coating techniques" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or other known coating techniques"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 6. The term "large" in claim 21 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

 The term "large" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a

Art Unit: 1745

standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Starz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,500,217) in view of Yano (U.S. Patent No. 5,380,806) and Tsai et al. (U.S. 6,514,296 B1).

With respect to claims 1, 5 and 20-21, Starz et al disclose a process for applying electrode layers to a polymer electrolyte membrane strip for fuel cells wherein the above and other objects of the invention can be achieved by a process for applying electrode layers on to a polymer electrolyte membrane strip "substrate" in a desired pattern, wherein the front and back of the membrane are continuously printed with the electrode layers in the desired pattern using an ink containing an electrocatalyst and the printed electrode layers are dried at elevated temperature immediately after the printing operation, the printing taking place while maintaining accurate positioning of the

Art Unit: 1745

patterns of the electrode layers on the front and back in relation to one another (Col 2 lines 54-64).

Starz et al also teach that to produce membrane-electrode assemblies, an ink with the following composition was used:

Ink: Catalyst 20% Pt 15.3 g on Vulcan XC72 NAFION Polymer 5.1 g

Tetrabutylammonium hydroxide 2.0g Li₂ CO₃ 5.5g Glycerol 127.7g Alcohol, water

44.6g

Regarding drying the catalyst-coated substrate at an elevated temperature, Starz et al teach that during the actual printing operation the polymer membrane is held, printed and then moved on by the repeat distance of the coating pattern. This operation is repeated until the entire polymer membrane is coated. The printed electrodes are dried by means of the continuous drier 12 a drying temperature of between 60 and 150 °C being selected. Hot-air or infrared driers which gently remove the solvents from the coating are preferably used. The drying time needed is regulated by the speed of the polymer strip (Col 6 lines 25-40).

With respect to leveling, Starz et al. teach that the printing process takes place with an ink which contains an electrocatalyst. This ink is often also referred to as a paste because of its consistency. In addition to a high boiling-point solvent it contains, for example, one or more electrocatalysts, proton-conducting ionomer and optionally auxiliaries such as wetting agents "leveling agent", pore forming agents or similar (Col 3 lines 19-33). Starz et al. does not specifically teach leveling the deposited catalyst ink. However, Yano disclose an ink composition (title) wherein when the amount of the

Art Unit: 1745

leveling agent is less than about 0.1 part by weight, a rough surface of the coating film attributed to the remainder of the foam generated at the time of the printing and the screen mesh is not sufficiently leveled, and pinholes are likely to be formed on the surface after drying and curing, so that the film tends to have a nonuniform thickness (Col 6 lines 25-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to incorporate the leveling procedure of Yano into the process of Starz et al. because Yano teach that if the mesh is not sufficiently leveled, pinholes are likely to be formed on the surface after drying and curing, so that the film tends to have a nonuniform thickness (Col 6 lines 25-45).

Starz et al. as modified by Yano does not specifically teach controlling the temperature and humidity. However, Tsai et al. disclose a method of making an energy storage device (title) wherein the coating solution is applied to the support by a spray method, cured, and optionally repeated to increase the thickness. A preferred procedure is to apply the coating solution to the substrate at a temperature of 0-150 °C by means of an ultrasonic or other spray nozzle with a flow rate of around 0.1-5 ml/min in a carrier gas composed of nitrogen, oxygen and/or other reactive and inert gases. The coating characteristics are controlled by the partial pressure of oxygen and other reactive gasses (Col 13 lines 40-50). Usually, constant temperature and humidity are important to obtain an even coat (Col 19 lines 40-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the controlling of the temperature and humidity of Tsai et al. into the leveling process of Starz et al. as modified by Yano because Tsai et al. teach that usually, constant temperature and humidity are important to obtain an even coat (Col 19 lines 40-50).

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to the coating step occurring in a coating compartment and the leveling step occurring in a leveling compartment, the coating and leveling steps of Starz et al. as modified by Yano takes place in the same compartment which anticipates the limitation of to the coating step occurring in a coating compartment and the leveling step occurring in a leveling compartment as evidenced by the Examiner's interpretation of claim 21 wherein the Applicant claims that "the coating step (a) and the leveling step (b) are performed in one large compartment comprising a coating section and a leveling section."

With respect to claims 2 and 3, Starz et al teach that to produce membrane-electrode assemblies, an ink with the following composition was used:

Ink: Catalyst 20% Pt 15.3 g on Vulcan XC72 NAFION Polymer 5.1 g

Tetrabutylammonium hydroxide 2.0g Li₂ CO₃ 5.5g Glycerol "surfactant" 127.7g

Alcohol, water 44.6g (Col 7 lines 30-45).

With respect to claim 6, Starz et al teach a process for applying electrode layers to a polymer electrolyte membrane strip for fuel cells wherein the above and other objects of the invention can be achieved by a process for applying electrode layers on to a polymer electrolyte membrane strip "ionomer substrate" in a desired pattern, wherein the front and back of the membrane are continuously printed with the electrode layers in the desired pattern using an ink containing an electrocatalyst and the printed electrode layers are dried at elevated temperature immediately after the printing

Art Unit: 1745

operation, the printing taking place while maintaining accurate positioning of the patterns of the electrode layers on the front and back in relation to one another (Col 2 lines 54-64).

With respect to claim 6, Starz et al teach that controlling the water contents of the membrane during the printing process enables the shrinkage and expansion properties of the membrane to be controlled and thus increases the accuracy of print positioning on front and back. The maximum water absorption capacity of a polymer electrolyte membrane based on perfluorinated sulfonic acid is a water content of about 30 wt. %. For the process according to the invention, water contents of 2 to 20, especially 10 to 20 wt. %, have proved suitable. Higher water contents lead to excessive expansion of the membrane associated with crack formation and reduced adhesion of the electrode layers. Alternatively, there is the possibility of adjusting the water content of the membrane by treating it in a humid atmosphere with 50 to 100% relative humidity and at 40 to 90 °C (Col 4 lines 30-67).

With respect to claims 7 and 8, the disclosure Starz et al differs from Applicant's claims in that Starz et al. do not disclose leveling or drying times as claimed by Applicant. However, Starz et al. recognize the need adjusting the residence time of the polymer membrane in the drying station. Starz et al teach that the preferred temperatures for drying the layers are between 60 and 150°C. The residence time of the polymer membrane in the drying station must guarantee adequate drying of the

Art Unit: 1745

electrode layers. It depends on the temperature selected and can be prolonged by appropriate deflections in the drying station (Col 3 lines 5-19). Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the drying time of the polymer membrane of Starz to within the Applicants claimed drying time in order to guarantee adequate drying. Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art. In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on January 16th, 2007 have been fully considered but 7. they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are

(a) Starz, et al. does not disclose the coating of the membrane while under a controlled humidity. No teaching is given how to conduct the treatment of the membrane in a humid atmosphere, while several details are given for the soaking bath (e.g., col. 6, lines 16-26).

Art Unit: 1745

(b) Starz, et al. is also silent towards any leveling step. The electrode layers in Starz, et al. are dried immediately after the printing operation (see Column 3, lines 9-11). There is no required leveling step prior to drying.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

(a) and (b) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 20-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,238 Page 10

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-6481. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ben Lewis

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1745 Aug Suy Inth SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER